NEW YORK STATE SUPREME COURT ALBANY COUNTY

ELISE STEFANIK, NICOLE MALLIOTAKIS, NICHOLAS LANGWORTHY, CLAUDIA TENNEY, ANDREA GOODELL, MICHAEL SIGLER, PETER KING, GAIL TEAL, DOUGLAS COLETY, BRENT BOGARDUS, MARK E. SMITH, THOMAS A. NICHOLS, MARY LOU A. MONAHAN, ROBERT F. HOLDEN, CARLA KERR STEARNS, JERRY FISHMAN, NEW YORK STATE REPUBLICAN STATE COMMITTEE, CONSERVATIVE PARTY OF NEW YORK STATE, NATIONAL REPUBLICAN CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEE, REPUBLICAN NATIONAL COMMITTEE

Plaintiffs

- against -

KATHY HOCHUL, in her official capacity as Governor of New York; NEW YORK STATE BOARD OF ELECTIONS; PETER S. KOSINSKI, in his official capacity as Co-Chair of the New York State Board of Elections; DOUGLAS A. KELLNER, in his official capacity as Co-Chair of the New York State Board of Elections; and THE STATE OF NEW YORK, Defendants. Index No: 908840-23 Albany County

MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN OPPOSITION TO PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

Defendants DOUGLAS A. KELLNER and ANDREW J. SPANO, in their

official capacities as Commissioners of the NEW YORK STATE BOARD OF

ELECTIONS, submit this memorandum of law in opposition to the petitioners'

application before this court.

Preliminary Statement

The New York Early Mail Voter Act ("NYEMVA") enacted by the New York State legislature and signed into law by the Governor as Chapter 481 of the Laws of 2023 is Constitutional. The State Constitution empowers the legislature to adopt laws providing for the manner of voting *in general* and for persons who are ill or physically absent from where they live. The fact that the legislature has now chosen a method of voting for general application that it had chosen in the past only as an exception does not negate this lawful exercise of its legislative authority. This conclusion is buttressed by the plain language of the existing State Constitution, the history of the State Constitution, and caselaw in Massachusetts and Pennsylvania construing similar provisions in those states' Constitutions.

I. NYEVMA IS CONSTITUTIONAL

A. Chapter 481 of the Laws of 2023 Described

On June 9, 2023 both houses of the legislature passed the "New York Early Mail Voter Act", as Assembly Bill 7632-A (2023) and Senate Bill 7394-A (2023). The vote was 94 to 51 in the Assembly, and 41 to 21 in the Senate. See <u>https://www.nysenate.gov/legislation/bills/2023/S7394</u>. On September 20, 2023, Governor Kathy Hochul signed the bill into law as Chapter 481 of the Laws of 2023.

The NYEMVA builds on the foundation of in-person early voting provided by law since 2019. *See* Election Law § 8-600 *et seq* (providing for nine days of inperson early voting).

Under this law any voter would be able to request an early vote by mail ballot for any election held in a calendar year. The voter may do this by filing a paper form (or letter) or by means of electronic application portal. The new law requires applications made by mail or electronic portal be received at least ten days before the election, but the law permits in-person applications at a board of elections up to the day before the election.

The legislation also mandates electronic tracking be made available so a voter can discern the status of his or her early vote by mail ballot—whether it has been sent, received, canvassed, etc. This serves to deter fraud and ensures a voter who has cast such a ballot can know when it was duly received and counted.

Just as early voting in person allows a voter's choice to be recorded prior to the date of the election *but* effectuates the vote on election day only, so too with early voting by mail.

NYEMVA takes effect on January 1, 2024.

B. Standard of Review and Burden of Proof

A duly enacted statute is presumed constitutionally valid. It is "a presumption of validity so strong as to demand of those who attack them a demonstration of invalidity beyond a reasonable doubt, and the courts strike them down only as a last unavoidable result." Matter of Van Berkel v Power, 16 NY2d 37 (1965). "The right to vote at an election is derived by the Constitution; the manner of voting is regulated by statute." Charles Z. Lincoln, Constitutional History of New York, vol. IV 182 (1906). "Subject to the restrictions and limitations of the constitution, the power of the legislature to enact election laws is absolute and uncontrollable (Ahern v Elder, 195 N.Y. 493); and before a court declares a statute invalid which makes any enactment in relation to elections, it should clearly appear that the statute is irreconcilable with the constitution (Hopper v Britt, 203 N.Y. 144)." John Godfrey Saxe, The New York Election Laws, p. 2 (Final Edition 1918).

C. The Constitutional Text Today

The State Constitution provides for the regulation of the voting process in Article II. Three specific sections of that Article are relevant to this litigation: *Section 1* defines *who* can vote. *Section 7* grants broad powers to the legislature to provide *how* persons vote generally. *Section 2* authorizes the legislature to provide, as it sees fit, for absentee voting for ill persons and persons away from

home on the day of the election.

Our State Constitution defines who is, generally, eligible to vote in Section 1

of Article II:

Section 1. Every citizen shall be entitled to vote at every election for all officers elected by the people and upon all questions submitted to the vote of the people provided that such citizen is eighteen years of age or over and shall have been a resident of this state, and of the county, city, or village for thirty days next preceding an election.

In Section 7 of Article II the Constitution confers on the legislature the

power to provide for how voters will vote, with scant limitations:

§7. All elections by the citizens, except for such town officers as may by law be directed to be otherwise chosen, shall be by ballot, *or by such other method as may be prescribed by law*, provided that secrecy in voting be preserved. The legislature shall provide for identification of voters through their signatures in all cases where personal registration is required and shall also provide for the signatures, at the time of voting, of all persons voting in person by ballot or voting machine, whether or not they have registered in person, save only in cases of illiteracy or physical disability.

[emphasis added]

Finally, section 2 of Article II of the current State Constitution provides a

discretionary authorization whereby the legislature "may" provide for absentee

voting:

§2. The legislature may, by general law, provide a

manner in which, and the time and place at which, qualified voters who, on the occurrence of any election, may be absent from the county of their residence or, if residents of the city of New York, from the city, and qualified voters who, on the occurrence of any election, may be unable to appear personally at the polling place because of illness or physical disability, may vote and for the return and canvass of their votes.

There is nothing in these Constitutional provisions that can be reasonably

construed to preclude the legislature and governor from adopting mail voting as a

general means of voting.

D. Evolution of the Constitutional Text Further Supports Power of the Legislature to Authorize Early Voting By Mail.

Counsel for the petitioners in their briefing weave together snippets of Constitutional text to reach their conclusion that NYEMVA is unconstitutional. They have labored long to make the Constitution say something that it simply does not.

To begin it is therefore useful to examine how the text of the relevant Constitutional sections evolved. Constitutional historians regard New York as having five relevant eras coinciding with Constitutional conventions that successfully amended the Constitution. The original Constitution was born in 1777; the second, 1821; the third, 1846; the fourth, 1894 and the fifth, 1938. The Constitution has never remained long unchanged. In the current 85-year era of the 1938 Constitution, our Constitution has been amended many dozens of times. What follows is a side-by-side chart of the three relevant Constitutional provisions showing the evolution of each since 1846, culminating in the current language.

The chart does not show every change to these provisions but rather depicts them at the beginning of each Constitutional era and at present. The words of the Constitutional text unfettered by attorneys' framing demonstrate there no longer exists—if ever there was—a prohibition on the legislature providing for any particular form of regular voting.

Article II Section 1 [emphasis added]

		ſ	[]
Current	1938	1894	1846
Section 1. Every	Section 1. Every	Section 1. Every	Section 1. Every male
citizen shall be	citizen of the age of	male citizen of the	citizen of the age of
entitled to vote at	twenty-one years,	age of twenty-one	twenty-one years, who
every election for	who shall have been a	years, who shall	shall have been a citizen
all officers elected	citizen for ninety	have been a citizen	for ten days, and an
by the people and	days, and an	for ninety days, and	inhabitant of this state
upon all questions	inhabitant of this state	an inhabitant of this	one year next preceding
submitted to the	for one year next	State one year next	any election, and for the
vote of the people	preceding an election,	preceding an	last four months a
provided that such	and for the last four	election, and for the	resident of the county
citizen is eighteen	months a resident of	last four months a	where he may offer his
years of age or	the county and for the	resident of the	vote, shall be entitled to
over and shall have	last thirty days a	county and for the	vote at such election <i>in</i>
been a resident of	resident of the	last thirty days a	the election district of
this state, and of	election district in	resident of the	which he shall at the
the county, city, or	which he or she may	election district in	time be a resident, and
village for thirty	offer his or her vote,	which he may offer	<i>not elsewhere</i> , for all
days next	shall be entitled to	his vote, shall he	officers that now are or
preceding an	vote at such election	entitled to vote at	hereafter may be elected
election.	in the election	such election in the	by the people; but such
	district of which he	election district of	citizen shall have been,
	or she shall at the	which he shall at	for thirty days next
	time be a resident,	the time be a	preceding the election, a
	and not elsewhere,	resident, and not	resident of the district
	for all officers that	elsewhere, for all	from which the officer is
	now are or hereafter	officers that now	to be chosen for whom he
	may be elective by	are or hereafter may	offers his vote. But no
	the people, and upon	be elective by the	man of color, unless he
	all questions which	people, and upon all	shall have been for three
	may be submitted to	questions which	years a citizen of this
	the vote of the	may be submitted to	state, and for one year
	people, provided	the vote of the	next preceding any
	however that in time	people; provided	election shall have been
	of war no elector in	that in time of war	seized and possessed of a
	the actual military	no elector in the	freehold estate of the
	service of the state, or	actual military	value of two hundred and
	of the United States,	service of the State,	fifty dollars, over and
	in the army or navy	or of the United	above all debts and
	thereof, shall be	States, in the army	incumbrances charged
	deprived of his or her	or navy thereof,	thereon, and shall have
	vote by reason of his	shall be deprived of	been actually rated and
	or her absence from	his vote by reason	paid a tax thereon, shall
	such election district;	of his absence from	be entitled to vote at such

ГТ			
	and the legislature	such election	election. And no person
	shall provide the	district; and the	of color shall be subject
	manner in which and	Legislature shall	to direct taxation unless
	the time and place at	have power to	he shall be seized and
	which such absent	provide the manner	possessed of such real
	electors may vote,	in which sad the	estate as aforesaid.
	and for the return and	time end place at	
	canvass of their votes.	which such absent	
	Notwithstanding the	electors may vote,	
	foregoing provisions,	and for the return	
	after January first,	end canvass of their	
	one thousand nine	votes in the election	
	hundred twenty-two,	districts in which	
	no person shall	they respectively	
	become entitled to	reside.	
	vote by attaining		
	majority, by		
	naturalization or		
	otherwise, unless		
	such person is also		
	able, except for		
	physical disability, to		
	read and write		
	English.		

Current	1938	1894	1846
§7. All elections by	§7. All elections by	§ 5. [Manner of	§ 5. All elections by
the citizens, except for	the citizens, except for	voting.]-All elections	the citizens shall be
such town officers as	such town officers as	by the citizens,	by ballot, except for
may by law be	may by law be	except for such town	such town officers
directed to be	directed to be	officers as may by	as may by law be
otherwise chosen,	otherwise chosen,	law be directed to be	directed to be
shall be by ballot, or	shall be by ballot, or	otherwise chosen,	otherwise chosen.
by such other method	by such other method	shall be by ballot, or	
as may be prescribed	as may be prescribed	by such other	
by law, provided that	by law, provided that	method as may be	
secrecy in voting be	secrecy in voting be	prescribed by law,	
preserved. The	preserved. The	provided that	
legislature shall	legislature shall	secrecy in voting be	
provide for	provide for	preserved.	
identification of voters	identification of voters		
through their	through their		
signatures in all cases	signatures in all cases		
where personal	where personal		
registration is required	registration is required		
and shall also provide	and shall also provide		
for the signatures, at	for the signatures, at		
the time of voting, of	the time of voting, of		
all persons voting in	all persons voting in		
person by ballot or	person by ballot or		
voting machine,	voting machine,		
whether or not they	whether or not they		
have registered in	have registered in		
person, save only in	person, save only in		
cases of illiteracy or	cases of illiteracy or		
physical disability.	physical disability.		

Article II section 7 (formerly section 5) [emphasis added]

Article II Section 2

Current	1938	1894	1846
§2. The legislature	§2. The legislature	See section 1, above.	No provision for
may, by general law,	may, by general law,	The absentee	absentee voting.
provide a manner in	provide a manner in	provision and	
which, and the time	which, and the time	qualification provision	
and place at which,	and place at which,	were then in the same	
qualified voters who,	qualified voters who	section.	
on the occurrence of	may, on the		
any election, may be	occurrence of any		
absent from the	general election, be		
county of their	unavoidably absent		
residence or, if	from the state or		
residents of the city	county of their		
of New York, from	residence because		
the city, and qualified	they are inmates of a		
voters who, on the	soldiers' and sailors'		
occurrence of any	home or of a United		
election, may be	States veterans'		
unable to appear	bureau hospital, or		
personally at the	because their duties,		
polling place because	occupation or		
of illness or physical	business require them		
disability, may vote	to be elsewhere		
and for the return and	within the United		
canvass of their	States, may vote, and		
votes.	for the return and		
	canvass of their votes		
	in the election district		
	in which they		
	respectively reside.		

E. Historical Backdrop of Absentee Voting

The Constitution of 1846, in place at the time of the Civil War, provided in Article II § 1 that a voter shall have been "for the last four months a resident of the county where he may offer his vote, shall be entitled to vote at such election *in the election district of which he shall at the time be a resident, and not elsewhere*, for all officers that now or hereafter may be elected by the people..." [emphasis added]

As the Civil War raged, a significant portion of the male population—at a time when only men could vote— was absent owing to the war. Accordingly, in 1863, a majority of state legislators in both our Assembly and Senate sent a bill to Governor Horatio Seymour authorizing voting by New York soldiers when away from home in service to the Union.

The legislature believed that the language governing where a voter was entitled to vote was not dispositive of the method by which they could vote. Indeed, the Attorney General at the time, Daniel S. Dickinson, opined that the 1863 bill comported with the Constitution of 1846. Governor Seymour, however, disagreed, likely for political reasons associated with the belief soldiers' votes were expected to benefit the party of Lincoln and not his Seymour's party. Setting aside reasonable conjecture as to his motives, he in fact vetoed the 1863 absentee soldiers' voting measure, citing constitutional concerns. *See*

https://www.mrlincolnandnewyork.org/new-york-politics/soldiers-votes/ Thereupon the legislature set in motion the process of revising the Constitution to meet Governor Seymour's objections. Promptly the legislature sent a Constitutional amendment permitting absentee voting to the electorate at a special election held on March 8, 1864. The voters approved the amendment with an enormous majority, and on April 21, 1864 the legislature adopted Chapter 253 of the Laws of 1864 allowing soldiers to vote by absentee ballot, in time for the presidential election of 1864. *See* Charles Z. Lincoln, *The Constitutional History of New York*, vol. II p. 235-240, (1905).

The Constitutional amendment of 1864 and all subsequent provisions relating to absentee voting are properly understood as authorizing *exceptions* to the manner of voting generally applicable. But at all times the legislature retained the plenary power to authorize generally applicable methods of voting.

In centuries past, postal voting as a regular mode of voting was unheard of. But now, eight states conduct elections entirely by mail. And in total 35 states (including New York) now provide for postal voting as a part of the "regular" voting process. *See* <u>https://www.ncsl.org/elections-and-campaigns/voting-outside-the-</u> <u>polling-place</u>

The coming-of-age of postal voting is connected significantly to advances in technology. A voter can now, for example, use an online tracking mechanism to see whether their mail vote application was received and processed and whether it was returned. This makes it harder for someone to "steal" a voter's identity without the voter's knowledge. Postal technology has also progressed to allow postal officials to track mail, including whether ballot envelopes entered the postal stream and whether they were delivered, in ways unimaginable in 1864. It is not uncommon for postal customers to receive a daily email with images of the mail they are supposed to receive that very day. In sum, the fraud detection tools, coupled with the systems to process mail ballots reliably and the experience of the expanding number of states that have now used mail voting for many years caused New York to make this mode of voting part of its regular, not exceptional, voting process.

Importantly, the current New York Constitution no longer includes the language requiring voting "in the election district" that prompted Governor Seymour to veto the soldiers' voting provisions in 1863. Today, Article II section 1 provides no limitation on where a voter votes. *See Amedure v. State of New York*, 77 Misc. 3d 629 (Sup Ct. Saratoga County 2022) (admitting "that express requirement no longer exists...")

Today that provision of our Constitution simply reads: "[e]very citizen shall be entitled to vote at every election for all officers elected by the people ... provided that such citizen is eighteen years of age or over and shall have been a resident of this state, and of the county, city, or village for thirty days next proceeding an election."

The plenary delegation of power to the legislature to define the manner of voting was made even clearer in the latter 19th century. In 1892, lever voting machines were permitted under state law and their use quickly expanded through various legislative enactments until most elections were conducted on them. At the Constitutional Convention of 1894, the delegates took note of the evolving

mechanisms for voting. Some delegates feared the Constitutional requirement that elections be "by ballot" would legally endanger the use of lever voting machines and other future innovations in voting. Accordingly in 1894, New York's Constitutional Convention advanced an amendment which was approved by the voters providing that "[a]ll elections by the citizens, except for such town officers as may by law be directed to be otherwise chosen, shall be by ballot, *or by such other method as may be prescribed by law,* provided that secrecy in voting be preserved." N.Y. Const. Art. II section 7 (emphasis added).

Delegate Hill in 1894, while noting the immediate impetus for the delegation to the legislature was meant to permit voting by lever voting machines, made clear the reach of the amendment was to permit by law, without constitutional constraint, future methods of voting found to be salutary:

> The inventive talent of the age is being directed toward perfection, among other things, of such mechanical devices. The results thus far obtained warrant the assumption that, before the lapse of another generation, they will have been so perfected, and so generally adopted throughout the country, as to superseded almost entirely the present cumbersome and expensive method of voting by ballot. Provision should now be made *to admit of an adjustment of the manner of our elections to the improved methods of voting thus likely to come into use* [emphasis added].

It was widely understood that the duly enacted law to prescribe the *manner of* voting is plenary provided the law does not constrain the right to vote. "The right to

vote at an election is derived from the Constitution, the manner of voting is regulated by statute." *See* Charles Z. Lincoln, *The Constitutional History of New York*, vol. IV p. 182, (1905).

Very simply, the New York Early Mail Voter Act is within the powers delegated to the legislature and governor by the Constitution, to prescribe the *general* manner of voting available to all voters. Such power being designed to give the lawmakers of the day the ability to discern and embrace "*improved methods of voting*" especially those that have come into use broadly throughout the country. *Id.*

It must also be noted that NYEMVA is not the first time the legislature has authorized mail voting for persons not covered by the absentee provisions of Article II section 2. *See* Election Law § 11-302 (special ballots for board of elections employees); § 11-306 (special ballots for victims of domestic violence); § 11-308 (special ballots for emergency responders).

F. Massachusetts, Pennsylvania and Delaware

Many of the same issues presented in this case were litigated in Massachusetts, Pennsylvania and Delaware. In all but the latter, these state's highest courts concluded that the plenary power of the legislature to enact mail voting for *all* was not constrained by a Constitutional provision permitting absentee voting for *some*. Indeed, the Delaware Supreme Court acknowledged this when noting "we do not insinuate a failure of wisdom or analysis on the part of our learned counterparts in those states; indeed, had our historical record and constitutional tradition not pointed us firmly in the direction we have taken, we might very well have followed their lead..."

In Massachusetts, the Supreme Court of that state rejected the argument that the power of the legislature to implement voting by mail was negated by implication because a provision of the Massachusetts Constitution granted "authority to the Legislature to provide for absentee voting in three identified circumstances." *Lyons v Secretary of Commonwealth*, 490 Mass. 650 (2022).

The Massachusetts Supreme Court rightly rejected "[t]his novel constitutional 'negative implication' argument, based on the maxim of expression unius exclusive alterius..." The court cautioned this doctrine should be applied "with even greater caution when interpreting a State Constitution, especially where its application would act as a restraint on the plenary power of the Legislature..." *Id*.

The current New York Constitutional provision related to absentee voting grants the legislature an invitation to provide alternative modes of voting to absentee voters. It does not restrict the mode of voting it may provide to voters generally, and there is nothing in the language of the Constitution that demands that a voter vote at their polling place. As the Massachusetts Supreme Court notes, "[s]ilence is subject to multiple interpretations; it is not sufficient to rebut the presumption of constitutionality or to prove repugnancy. We need only look at other provisions in our Constitution to see that its framers knew how to expressly restrict legislative authority when they wanted to do so[.]" *Id*.

The Massachusetts court also noted that a change in the legislature's understanding or belief about what its powers are is not dispositive nor particularly relevant in interpreting the Constitution. *See Id* at 1094.

Because of the verbatim similarity of some of the relevant provisions under consideration between the New York and Pennsylvania constitutions, the *McLinko v Department of State*, 279 A.3d 539 (Pa 2022) decision is most persuasive. The *McLinko* court interpreted the phrase "offer to vote" in PA. Const. art VII § 1 to not require physical presence to cast a vote. Notably, New York's equivalent (Article II § 1) has no language that can be construed to require physical presence at a polling place in order to cast a vote. Any analogous provisions fell out of our Constitution between 1938 and the current iteration.

The *McLinko* court also found the Constitutional provision that "[a]ll elections by the citizens shall be by ballot or by such other method as may be prescribed by law: Provided, That secrecy in voting be preserved." – language very close to the language of New York's Article II § 7 – empowered the legislature to adopt alternative means of voting, like voting by mail. Precisely applicable in New York is that court's observation that "although the recorded history of the amendment reflects that the drafters envisioned the legislative allowance of voting machines, the legislature's authority was conspicuously not limited to that one other method." *Id.* "[T]he controlling principles are that Section 4 broadly authorized the legislature to prescribe alternative methods of voting and the Constitution does not otherwise prohibit the General Assembly from enacting universal mail voting." *Id* at 580.

Finally, Pennsylvania has, like New York, a Constitutional provision empowering the legislature to "provide a manner in which" absentee and ill voters may vote. *Compare* PA Const. Article VII § 14a to NY Const. Article II § 2. The Pennsylvania Supreme Court noted that this section did not prevent the legislature from "a policy decision, based on the authority afforded it by our Charter, to afford all qualified voters the convenience of casting their votes by mail."

II. PLAINTIFFS MEET NONE OF REQUIREMENTS FOR PRELIMINARY RELIEF

"A party may obtain temporary injunctive relief only upon a demonstration of (1) irreparable injury absent the grant of such relief, (2) a likelihood of success on the merits, and (3) a balancing of the equities in that party's favor." *Winter v Brown*, 49 AD3d 526 (2nd Dept 2008); Election Law § 16-106 (5) (requiring criteria of article 63 of CPLR to be met). Absent these showings, an injunctive order cannot be issued. A party seeking to mandate specific conduct—like dictating how an election is to unfold—must meet a "heightened standard." *Roberts v. Paterson*, 84 A.D.3d 655, 655 (1st Dep't 2011). A mandatory preliminary injunction "is an extraordinary and drastic remedy which is rarely granted and then only under unusual circumstances where such relief is essential to maintain the status quo pending trial of the action." *Zoller v. HSBC Mtge. Corp. (USA),* 135 A.D.3d 932, 933 (2d Dep't 2016).

Irreparable Injury

It cannot be irreparable injury to someone else that a person duly qualified to vote does so using a particular method of voting that is lawfully provided for.

Likelihood of Success on the Merits

For the reasons provided for herein, the plaintiffs are not likely to succeed on the merits.

Balance of Equities

The election process for the April 2, 2024 presidential primary will be underway as of January 1, 2024, insofar as boards of elections will be receiving early vote by mail applications for that election as of that date. Preventing voters from being able to apply for early vote by mail ballots as of that date prevents voters from applying to use their statutory right to vote by mail.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated herein the instant application should be denied.

Soin One

October 6, 2023

By:

Brian L. Quail (510786) NEW YORK STATE BOARD OF ELECTIONS 40 N. Pearl Street, Suite 5 Albany, NY 12207 (518) 473-5088 Brian.quail@elections.ny.gov